Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/366,858	DRENTTEL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu V. Huynh	2178
All Participants:	Status of Application	<b>:</b>
(1) <u>Thu V. Huynh</u> .	(3)	
(2) Evelyn M. Sommer.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 February 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 11, 15		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C Authorization for examiner amendment	SENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>n. The examiner will provide a strate record of the substance of</li> </ul>	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview.
-yth Muyrl		
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		